

# BV-Quantization of a Noncommutative Yang–Mills Theory Toy Model

Helmuth Hüffel<sup>1,2</sup>

Institut für Theoretische Physik

Universität Wien

Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

## Abstract

We review the Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization procedure for Yang–Mills theory on a 2-point space.

- 1) Talk given at the 5-th International Conference "Renormalization Group 2002", Tatranska Strba, Slovakia, March 10-16, 2002
- 2) Email: helmuth.hueffel@univie.ac.at

In this talk we give a short summary of [1], where we proposed the quantization of one of the simplest toy models for noncommutative gauge theories which is (zero dimensional) Yang–Mills theory on a 2-point space.

Noncommutative geometry constitutes one of the fascinating new concepts in current theoretical physics research with many promising applications [2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

We quantize the Yang–Mills theory on a 2-point space by applying the standard Batalin–Vilkovisky method [7, 8]. Somewhat surprisingly we find that despite of the model’s original simplicity the gauge structure reveals infinite reducibility and the gauge fixing is afflicted with the Gribov [9] problem.

The basic idea of noncommutative geometry is to replace the notion of differential manifolds and functions by specific noncommutative algebras of functions. Following [10] we define the Yang–Mills Theory on a 2-point space in terms of the algebra  $\mathbf{A} = C \oplus C$  which is represented by diagonal complex valued  $2 \times 2$  matrices. The differential p-forms are constant, diagonal or offdiagonal  $2 \times 2$  matrices, depending on whether p is even or odd, respectively. A nilpotent derivation  $\mathbf{d}$  acting on  $2 \times 2$  matrices is defined by  $\mathbf{d}a = i \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} + a_{12} & a_{22} - a_{11} \\ a_{11} - a_{22} & a_{21} + a_{12} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . The anti-Hermitean 1-forms  $\mathcal{A}$  can be parametrized by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\phi \\ i\bar{\phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

and constitute the gauge fields of the model; here  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}$  denotes a (constant) scalar field. The (rigid) gauge transformations of  $\mathcal{A}$  are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^U = U^{-1}\mathcal{A}U + U^{-1}\mathbf{d}U \quad (2)$$

with  $U$  being a unitary element of the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ . It is a constant, diagonal and unitary matrix which can be parametrized by the diagonal matrix  $\varepsilon$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\beta} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3)$$

Due to the nonabelian form of the gauge transformations (2) the  $U(1) \times U(1)$  gauge model shares many interesting features with the standard Yang–Mills theory, yet it has no physical space-time dependence and allows extremely simple calculations.

We define a scalar product for  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $a, b$  by  $\langle a | b \rangle = \text{tr } a^\dagger b$  where  $\dagger$  denotes taking the Hermitian conjugate. The curvature  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as usual by  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{d}\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$  and for an action which is automatically invariant under the gauge transformations (2) one takes

$$S_{inv} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{F} | \mathcal{F} \rangle = \left( (\phi + \bar{\phi}) + \phi \bar{\phi} \right)^2. \quad (4)$$

To discuss infinitesimal (zero-stage) gauge transformations we introduce a diagonal infinitesimal (zero stage) gauge parameter matrix  $\varepsilon_e^0$  in terms of which  $U \simeq \mathbf{1} + \varepsilon_e^0$ . The infinitesimal (zero-stage) gauge variation of  $\mathcal{A}$  derives as

$$\delta_{\varepsilon_e^0} \mathcal{A} = i \mathbf{R}^0 \varepsilon_e^0 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{R}^0 = \mathbf{D}; \quad (5)$$

here the (zero-stage) gauge generator  $\mathbf{R}^0$  is defined in terms of the covariant matrix derivative  $\mathbf{D}$ , which acting on  $\varepsilon_e^0$  is given by  $\mathbf{D}\varepsilon_e^0 = \mathbf{d}\varepsilon_e^0 + [\mathcal{A}, \varepsilon_e^0]$ .

A gauge symmetry is called irreducible if the (zero stage) gauge generator  $\mathbf{R}^0$  does not possess any zero mode. It is amusing to note that the Yang–Mills theory on the 2-point space reveals an infinitely reducible gauge symmetry: We observe that  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{d}$  is vanishing on arbitrary offdiagonal matrices. Thus there exists a zero mode  $\varepsilon_e^1$  for the (zero-stage) gauge generator  $\mathbf{R}^0$ , such that

$$\mathbf{R}^0 \varepsilon_e^1 = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_e^1 = \mathbf{R}^1 \varepsilon_o^1 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{d}. \quad (6)$$

Here  $\varepsilon_o^1$  denotes an offdiagonal, infinitesimal (first-stage) gauge parameter matrix and  $\mathbf{R}^1$  the corresponding (first-stage) gauge generator. As a matter of fact an infinite tower of (higher-stage) gauge generators  $\mathbf{R}^s$ ,  $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  with never ending gauge invariances for gauge invariances is arising: We define  $\mathbf{R}^s = \mathbf{d}$  for  $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  so that for each gauge generator there exists an additional zero mode

$$\mathbf{R}^1 \varepsilon_o^2 = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_o^2 = \mathbf{R}^2 \varepsilon_e^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^2 \varepsilon_e^3 = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_e^3 = \mathbf{R}^3 \varepsilon_o^3 \\ \dots \quad \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

due to the nilpotency  $\mathbf{d}^2 = 0$ .

Now we straightforwardly apply the usual field theory BV-path integral quantization scheme [7, 8] to the 2-point model: In addition to the original gauge field  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{-1}$  we introduce ghost fields  $\mathcal{C}_s^k$ ,  $\infty \geq s \geq -1$ ,  $s \geq k \geq -1$  with  $k$  odd, as well as auxiliary ghost fields  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^k$ ,  $\infty \geq s \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq k \geq 0$  with  $k$  even. Furthermore we add Lagrange multiplier fields  $\pi_s^k$ ,  $\infty \geq s \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq k \geq 1$  with  $k$  odd and  $\bar{\pi}_s^k$ ,  $\infty \geq s \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq k \geq 0$  with  $k$  even; finally we introduce antifields  $\mathcal{C}_s^{k*}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^{k*}$ . The BV-action obtains as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BV} = S_{inv} + S_{aux} - \langle \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{-1*} | \mathbf{D} \mathcal{C}_0^{-1} \rangle - \sum_{s=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_s^{-1*} | \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle \\ - i \sum_{s=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_s^{-1*} | \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we denote by  $S_{aux}$  the auxiliary field action

$$S_{aux} = \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\pi}_s^k | \bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^{k*} \rangle + \sum_{k=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_s^{k*} | \pi_s^k \rangle. \quad (9)$$

Gauge fixing conditions similar to the usual Feynman gauge are implemented by introducing the gauge fixing fermion  $\Psi = \Psi_\delta + \Psi_\pi$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\delta = \sum_{s=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k \leq s} \left( -\langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^k | \delta \mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{k-1} \rangle + \langle \delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^k | \mathcal{C}_{s+2}^{k+1} \rangle \right. \\ \left. + i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^k | \delta \mathcal{C}_s^{k-1} \rangle + i \langle \delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^k | \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \right), \\ \Psi_\pi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{k < s} \left( \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^k | \pi_s^{k+1} \rangle + \langle \bar{\pi}_s^k | \mathcal{C}_s^{k+1} \rangle \right. \\ \left. + i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^k | \pi_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle + i \langle \bar{\pi}_{s+1}^k | \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_k^k | \bar{\pi}_k^k \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By  $\delta$  we denote a nilpotent coderivative operator  $\delta a = i \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} - a_{21} & -a_{11} - a_{22} \\ -a_{11} - a_{22} & -a_{12} + a_{21} \end{pmatrix}$

where  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . We eliminate the antifields by using the gauge fixing

fermion  $\Psi$  via

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_s^{k*} | = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial |\mathcal{C}_s^k \rangle}, \quad |\bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^{k*} \rangle = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^k |}, \quad (11)$$

so that the gauge fixed action  $S_\Psi$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Psi &= S_{inv} - i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_0^0 | \delta \mathbf{D} \mathcal{C}_0^{-1} \rangle \\ &\quad - i \sum_{s=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^0 | \delta \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle + \sum_{s=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^0 | \delta \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+1, \text{ odd}}^{\infty} \left( i \langle \bar{\pi}_s^k | \pi_s^{k+1} \rangle + \langle \bar{\pi}_s^k | (i \delta \mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{k-1} + \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1}) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle (i \delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s-1}^k - \mathbf{d} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2}) | \pi_s^{k+1} \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+2, \text{ even}}^{\infty} \left( \langle \bar{\pi}_s^k | \pi_s^{k+1} \rangle + \langle \bar{\pi}_s^k | (-\delta \mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{k-1} + i \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1}) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle (\delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s-1}^k + i \mathbf{d} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2}) | \pi_s^{k+1} \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\pi}_k^k | (-\delta \mathcal{C}_{k-1}^{k-1} + i \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\pi}_k^k) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We can now eliminate the Lagrange multiplier fields  $\pi_s^k$  and  $\bar{\pi}_s^k$  and arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Psi &\longrightarrow S_{inv} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{d} \delta \mathcal{A} \rangle - i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_0^0 | (\delta \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{d} \delta) \mathcal{C}_0^{-1} \rangle \\ &\quad - i \sum_{s=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^0 | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d} \delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^0 | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d} \delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle \\ &\quad - i \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+1, \text{ odd}}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d} \delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+2, \text{ even}}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d} \delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_{k+1}^{k+1} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d} \delta) \mathcal{C}_{k+1}^{k+1} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

All the higher-stage ghost contributions can be integrated away as  $\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d} \delta = 4 \cdot \mathbf{1}$  and we simply obtain

$$S_\Psi \longrightarrow S_{inv} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{d} \delta \mathcal{A} \rangle - i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_0^0 | (\delta \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{d} \delta) \mathcal{C}_0^{-1} \rangle. \quad (14)$$

We summarize that the zero dimensional Yang–Mills theory model on a 2-point space reveals infinite reducibility; after applying the standard BV-quantization procedure the action finally contains invertible quadratic parts for the gauge field, as well as for the ghost fields. A closer inspection [1] shows that the model suffers from a Gribov problem [9].

We expect that our present investigations will lead to a study of the renormalization effects at higher orders; it may also be possible to compare the perturbative calculations with explicit analytic integrations (for related attempts see [11]).

## Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank the organizers for their kind invitation to this conference.

## References

- [1] H. Hüffel, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 2348
- [2] A. Connes: *Noncommutative geometry* (Acad. Press, 1995)
- [3] J. Madore: *An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and its physical applications* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995)
- [4] G. Landi: *An introduction to noncommutative spaces and their geometries* (Lecture Notes in Physics 51, Springer, 1997)
- [5] J. Gracia-Bondia, J. Varilly, H. Figueroa: *Elements of Noncommutative geometry* (Birkhauser, 2000)
- [6] D. Kastler, in *Geometry and Quantum Physics*, ed. H. Gausterer, H. Grosse and L. Pittner (Lecture Notes in Physics 543, Springer, 2000)
- [7] I. Batalin and G. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. 102B (1981) 27

- [8] I. Batalin and G. Vilkovisky, Phys. Rev. D28 (1983) 2567
- [9] V. Gribov, Nucl. Phys. B139 (1978) 1
- [10] A. Connes and J. Lott, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B18 (1990) 29
- [11] R. Häußling, Univ. of Mainz preprint MZ-TH/01-25, hep-th/0109161